1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 9 10 MARGART BLACKWELL. Docket No: 11 **COMPLAINT** Plaintiff, 12 13 VS. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 14 CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, INC., 15 16 Defendant. 17 18 MARGART BLACKWELL (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through the 19 undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, 20 INC. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), as follows: 21 **INTRODUCTION** 22 1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices 23 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., ("FDCPA"). 24 25 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 26 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 27 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), and jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 28 1367. 29 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant maintains 30 its principal place of business in Washington. 31

27

28

29

30

31

- 4. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because Defendant resides in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this Judicial District.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of Washington.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York.
- 7. Plaintiff, a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), is allegedly obligated to pay a debt.
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Renton, Washington.
- 9. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 10. Defendant is a person who uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is therefore a debt collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff's alleged debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 12. Sometime after the incurrence of the debt, but before the initiation of this action, Plaintiff is alleged to have fallen behind on payments allegedly owed on the alleged debt.
- 13. At a time known only to Defendant, Plaintiff's alleged debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 14. In its efforts to collect the alleged debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by written correspondence. ("<u>Exhibit 1</u>.")
- 15. Defendant's written correspondence to Plaintiff was a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
 - 16. As set forth in the following Counts, Defendant's communication violated the

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

I	2
1	3

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

2627

2829

3031

FDCPA.

FIRST COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f

- 17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 18. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f provides a debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 19. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(8) limits the language and symbols that a debt collector may place on envelopes it sends to consumers.
- 20. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(8) prohibits a debt collector from using any language or symbols on the envelope, other than the debt collector's address, when communicating with a consumer by mail, except that a debt collector may place its business name on the envelope if such name does not indicate that the debt collector is in the debt collection business.
- 21. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(8)'s prohibition applies to language and symbols both on the envelope, and language and symbols visible through any glassine window of the envelope. *See, Douglass v. Convergent Outsourcing*, 765 F.3d 299 (3rd Cir 2014).
- 22. Defendant used language other than Defendant's address and business name, on the envelope it sent to Plaintiff.
- 23. Defendant has violated § 1692f by using language other than Defendant's address and business name, on the envelope it sent to Plaintiff.

SECOND COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g Validation of Debts

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 25. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.
- 26. The written notice must contain a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the

4

10

25

consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector.

- 27. The written notice must contain a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- 28. Defendant failed to advise Plaintiff that her dispute must be in writing in order to require Defendant to obtain verification of the debt and mail such to her.
- 29. Defendant failed to advise Plaintiff that she must request the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor, in writing.
- 30. A collection activity or communication overshadows or contradicts the validation notice if it would make the "least sophisticated consumer" uncertain or confused as to her rights.
- 31. Defendant's conduct would make the "least sophisticated consumer" uncertain and confused as to her rights.

THIRD COUNT Violation of New York General Business Law §349

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 33. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff to effect its collection of Plaintiff's alleged debt with reasonable care.
- 34. Defendant's conduct as described herein shows a lack of exercise of reasonable care in Defendant's collection of the alleged debt.
- 35. Defendant breached its duty to collect Plaintiff's alleged debt with reasonable care.
- 36. Defendant's conduct was committed by Defendant in the conduct of a business, trade or commerce or the furnishing of a service in New York State and constitutes a violation of NY GBL § 349(a).
- 37. Defendant's conduct was consumer-orientated in that the letter was sent in an effort to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- 38. Defendant's conduct has a broader impact on consumers at large as, upon information and belief, Defendant has sent the subject form letter to hundreds of consumers.
 - 39. Plaintiff is a reasonable consumer.

1	40.	Defendant's conduct would mislead a reasonable consumer.	
2	41.	Defendant engaged in a material deceptive act or practice as described herein.	
3	42.	Defendant's conduct caused plaintiff to suffer injury.	
4	43.	Defendant violated NY GBL § 349(a) and is liable to Plaintiff pursuant to NY	
5	GBL § 349(h)).	
6		HIDY DOMAND	
7		JURY DEMAND	
8	44.	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.	
9 10	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
11	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:	
12	a.	Statutory damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k of	
13	_	\$1,000.00; and	
14	b.	Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and	
15	c.	Plaintiff's actual damages; and	
16	d.	Plaintiff's costs; all together with	
17	e.	Damages against Defendant pursuant to NYGBL § 349; and	
18	f.	Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.	
19			
20	DATED: June	2 19, 2015	
21		BARSHAY SANDERS PLLC	
22		By: _/s/ Craig B. Sanders	
23		Craig B. Sanders, Esq. [CS 4163]	
24		100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530	
25		Tel: (516) 203-7600	
26		Fax: (516) 706-5055 csanders@barshaysanders.com	
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
28		Our File No.: 109121	
29			
30			
31			